FY24 MHAA GRANTS SAMPLE REVIEW PANEL SCORING SHEET

Applicant Organization: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Project Name: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Project Type: Non-Capital \_\_\_\_\_\_ Capital \_\_\_\_\_\_ MHAA Funds Requested: $\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Reviewer Name: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ Final Score: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ /110

Project Information

1. Do the project summary, timeline, and overall project description provide a feasible plan for the creation of a heritage tourism product? (0-10 points) \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Budget

1. Does the budget contain the necessary expenditures to complete the tasks outlined in the timeline? (0-10 points) \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Project Impact

1. Does the project have clear heritage tourism value? (0-20 points) \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

2. Will the project build partnerships within the heritage area? (0-15 points) \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

3. Will the project sustain or support the regional identity of the heritage area? (0-20 points) \_\_\_

4. Are local priorities addressed? (0-4 Points) \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

a. For heritage area reviewers: Does this project address your heritage area’s local priorities and/or initiatives?

Does the applicant cite specific page numbers in relevant plans to address local priorities? (0-1 point) \_\_\_\_

5. Does the project make a clear case as to why it must proceed at this time? (0-10 points)\_\_\_\_

6. Does the project make provision for physical or programmatic access by individuals with disabilities? (0-5 points) \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

7. Does this project address diverse or inclusive historical narratives? (0-5 points) \_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**TOTAL POINTS for MHAA scoring sheet:\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**

ADDITIONAL POINTS FOR LOCAL HCWHA scores:

**Project Impact and Civil War focus**

1. Project is centered on a Civil War resource named in the Management Plan in:
   * Resources, pp. 21-30
   * Appendix D: ABPP Preservation Plans

Or the applicant successfully makes the case that the project is linked to a resource of significance to the Civil War.

(0- 3 points) \_\_\_\_\_

1. If the answer to A is yes, will the project also preserve a structure with Civil War significance or preserve or conserve land of Civil War significance? (0-1 point)\_\_\_\_\_
   1. Does the applicant cite Stewardship as a primary goal of the project and explain how preservation or conservation will be achieved? (0-1 point)\_\_\_\_\_

CIVIL WAR FOCUS SUBTOTAL (0-5 points)\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**ADDITIONAL POINTS for US 250th Anniversary Projects (points possible for A & B)**

Planning projects for the US 250th anniversary will be considered a priority. In keeping with national and state approaches to the anniversary, projects need not be limited to a focus on 1776 or the Revolutionary War era. Up to five additional points may be awarded at the time of local review for such projects, whether capital or non-capital.

1. Applicant identifies and presents a substantive explanation of how the project aligns with one or more of the guiding themes established in the ***AASLH Field Guide to the* S*emiquincentennial* (https://aaslh.org/programs/250th/)** (0-2 points)
2. Applicant is building a legacy aspect into the project design, to assure the benefit will extend well beyond the anniversary year (2026) (0- 3 points possible)

250th ANNIVERSARY SUBTOTAL: (0 – 5 points) \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**TOTAL ADDITIONAL POINTS FOR HCWHA SCORES**\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

GRAND TOTAL (sum of MHAA & HCWHA points)\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_